[This form is tailorable for acquisition type customization]

I.	Procurement Requirement:
Origin	ating/Requirements/Program Office
SSP No	o
RFP N	o
Descrij	ption:
Acquis	ition Strategy/Basis for Award:
Offere	
Onero	r(s)
II.	DECISION STATEMENT FACTORS RATIONALE
	(SAMPLE: The factors in this section shall contain summarized analyses statements of the SSEB Comparative Analysis Report. These factors shall be used to compile and substantiate the "Source Selection Decision Statement")

A. <u>Summary Statement of Evaluation Criteria</u>

В.	Summary Statement of Cost/Price Analysis					
C.	Summary Statement of Cost Realism Analysis (As applicable)					
D.	Summary Statement of Strengths and Weaknesses					
Е.	Summary Statement of Rankings (As applicable)					
F.	Summary Statement of Applied Tradeoffs (As Applicable)					

III.		ation Report Findings ( <i>SAMP</i> th the Evaluation Report)	PLE:	This section is used to document agreement
I herel	ру			
	_Agree	Disagree (SAMPLE:	Suppo	orting documentation must be provided to
	disagreement with the at a new finding.)	ne evaluation report findings an	ıd/or a	analysis and the information relied upon to
with t	he findings of the Ev	raluation Report.		
Sou	urce Selection Author	ority (SSA)		Date

#### IV. DECISION ACUMEN AND OPINE RATIONALE

(SAMPLE: The acumen factors below must be discussed/justified for each evaluated proposal. The factors should also address how the successful proposal measured up to the other proposals in the Evaluation Report based on the evaluation factors and subfactors in the solicitation.)

#### A. Individual Comparative Analyses:

$\circ$	Rusiness	Judgement Anal	lysis Statement	(Including	value	analyses)	
$\mathbf{\mathcal{I}}$	Dusiness	Juugement Ana	iysis Statement	(Incinaing	vuiue	unuiyses	, -

0	Best Value Tradeoffs Analyses Statemen	t – ( <i>Te</i>	chnical d	and Price	Include	strengths	and
	Weaknesses) -						

0	Additional Cost	t Savings Ben	efits Analyse	s Statement	(Including	Valu	ie Engineering	) -
---	-----------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	------------	------	----------------	-----

#### **B.** Best Value Continuum Factor Rationale:

O The lowest price proposal is superior in terms of non-cost/price factors (SAMPLE: Discuss/justify decision factors and acrumen – Note: If a lower rated, lower priced proposal is selected the rationale must explain why the price premium of the higher rated proposal is not justified when there is an acceptable proposal at a lower price. Additionally, the rationale must explain the evaluated advantages of the higher rated proposal and why the advantages are not worth the price premium. Proceed to Source Selection Decision Statement)

OR

O The proposals are essentially equal in terms of non-cost/price factors (SAMPLE: Discuss/justify decision factors and acrumen. Additionally, the rationale can include a quantitative or qualitative justification for the payment or non-payment of a cost/price premium, provided the rationale is well reasoned – Proceed to Source Selection Decision Statement)

**Best Value Continuum Factor Rationale (Cont'd)** 

O The lowest priced proposal is not the most superior in terms of non-cost factors (SAMPLE:

Discuss/justify decision factors and acrumen and include in rationale whether or not the benefits of the non-cost/price strengths warrant the additional price premium (this may include a qualitative and quantitative analysis provided the analysis is well reasoned), and any other applicable analysis. Proceed to Source Selection Decision Statement.)

(SAMPLE: This statement is an independent determination/approval narration for award to the successful offeror based on the factors as delineated and the expertise, best judgment and best value judgment of the SSA official)

### v. SOURCE SELECTION DECISION STATEMENT

