

## PREP ASSIGNMENT SEASON 2023, EPISODE TWO January 25, 2023 FAR PART 3: ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING

Preparation Assignment (20 points each)

## Fill In the Blank Questions:

- Government business shall be conducted in a manner above reproach and, except as authorized by statute ore regulation, with complete impartiality and with preferential treatment for none. [Hint: Check out FAR 3.101-1]
- 2. A person *must* not, other than as provided by law, knowingly obtain *contractor bid or proposal information* or *source selection information* before the award of a *federal agency procurement* contract to which the information relates [Check out **FAR 3.104-3**]
- 3. Contractors' arrangements to pay contingent fees for soliciting or obtaining Government contracts have long been considered contrary to public policy because such arrangements may lead to attempted or actual exercise of improper influence. [Check our FAR 3.402]
- 4. Government contractors *shall* not discharge, demote, or otherwise discriminate against an employee as a reprisal for disclosing information to a Member of Congress, or an *authorized official of an agency* or of the Department of Justice, relating to a substantial violation of law related to a contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) [Check out **FAR 3.903**]
- 4. <u>31 U.S.C.1352</u> prohibits a *recipient* of a Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement from using appropriated funds to pay any *person* for *influencing or attempting to influence* an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with any *covered Federal actions*.

## "Look 'Em Ups" (Enter the FAR cite and Answer)

1. What information should a government employee provide to a cognizant agency ethics official to avoid potential personal or organization conflicts of interest prior to speaking with or being hired by a government contractor?

FAR 3.104-6(b)

The request for an advisory opinion must be in writing, include all relevant information reasonably available to the official or former official, and be dated and signed. The request must include information about the-

(1) Procurement(s), or decision(s) on matters under <u>3.104-3</u>(d)(1)(iii), involving the particular contractor, in which the individual was or is involved, including contract or solicitation numbers, dates of solicitation or award, a description of the supplies or services procured or to be procured, and contract amount;

- (2) Individual's participation in the procurement or decision, including the dates or time periods of that participation, and the nature of the individual's duties, responsibilities, or actions; and
- (3) Contractor, including a description of the *products or services produced by the division or affiliate of the contractor from whom the individual proposes to accept compensation.*
- 2. According to FAR Part 3, what practices or events may indicate a "red flag" or lead a contracting officer or Government investigator to believe that a government contractor may be violating federal or state anti-trust statutes and regulations?

FAR 3.303©

Practices or events that may evidence violations of the antitrust laws include-

- (1) The existence of an "industry price list" or "price agreement" to which contractors refer in formulating their *offers*;
  - (2) A sudden change from competitive bidding to identical bidding;
  - (3) Simultaneous price increases or follow-the-leader pricing;
- (4) Rotation of bids or proposals, so that each competitor takes a turn in sequence as low bidder, or so that certain competitors bid low only on some sizes of contracts and high on other sizes;
- (5) Division of the market, so that certain competitors bid low only for contracts awarded by certain agencies, or for contracts in certain geographical areas, or on certain *products, and bid high on all other jobs;* 
  - (6) Establishment by competitors of a collusive price estimating system;
- (7) The filing of a joint bid by two or more competitors when at least one of the competitors has sufficient technical capability and productive capacity for contract performance;
- (8) Any incidents suggesting direct collusion among competitors, such as the appearance of identical calculation or spelling errors in two or more competitive offers or the submission by one firm of offers for other firms; and
  - (9) Assertions by the employees, former employees, or competitors of *offerors, that an agreement to restrain trade exists*.

**FAR Question**: According to **FAR 3.10**, what three questions may the Government ask when assessing the adequacy of a government contractor's employee business ethics and compliance training program and internal control system?

## FAR 1.1002

To promote compliance with such code of business ethics and conduct, contractors should have an employee business ethics and compliance training program and an internal control system that-

- (1) Are suitable to the size of the company and extent of its involvement in Government contracting;
- (2) Facilitate timely discovery and disclosure of improper conduct in connection with Government contracts; and
  - (3) Ensure corrective measures are promptly instituted and carried out.

**FUN Question**: Speaking of three, one of my favorite shows to watch (in reruns) when I was growing up was the "Three Stooges". Tell me the names of all of the "stooges". [**Hint**: There was more than 3]. For an additional 20 "FUN" points tell me which stooges were related to each other.

There were 6 Stooges (Moe Howard, Larry Fine, Curly Howard, Shemp Howard, and Curly Joe DeRita, and Joe Besser).

Moe, Curly, and Shemp were all brothers.